



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/034,395	12/28/2001	Bertram Geck	2001P15341 US	1132	
7590 02/27/2006			EXAMINER		
Siemens Corpo	oration perty Department	ALAM,	ALAM, UZMA		
186 Wood Aven		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Iselin, NJ 0883	30	2157			
		DATE MAIL ED: 02/27/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/034,3	95	GECK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary			r	Art Unit				
		Uzma Ala		2157				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING resions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by strength received by the Office later than three months after the mad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF TI 2 1.136(a). In no ex iod will apply and v atute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATION rent, however, may a reply be timuril expire SIX (6) MONTHS from blication to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
2a) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allo	his action is a	non-final. for formal matters, pro		e merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims							
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 								
Applicati	on Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notice 3) Inform	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ No(s)/Mail Date	08)	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te	D-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/034,395 Page 2

Art Unit: 2157

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to the arguments filed on December 5, 2005. Claims 1-22 are pending. Claims 1-22 represent a method for providing messages on a telephone.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-5 and 10-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Guggisberg US Patent Publication No. 2004/0029598 in view of Weikart et al. US Patent No. 6,212,535. Guggisberg teaches the invention as claimed including a sending mail between a computer connected to the Internet and a mobile telephone (see abstract). Weikart teaches the invention as claimed including browser based electronic messaging.
- 4. As per claims 1 and 10, Guggisberg teaches a method and apparatus for routing Internettype messages from a computer workstation to a digital telephone having a display, said method comprising:
- a) coupling the computer workstation to a TCP/IP network (the computer workstation is connected to the Internet; paragraph 0019, 0049);

Page 3

b) coupling the digital telephone to the TCP/IP network (the phone is connected to the internet; paragraph 0019, 0049);

c) providing the computer workstation which supports messaging plug-ins, each of said messaging plug-ins being assigned a selected port (the workstation is connected to a network and receives and sends messages; paragraph 0049, 0053); and

Guggisberg does not teach a brower program and providing messaging redirector plugins for the browser program which each replace a corresponding messaging plug-in and are each
assigned to a same said selected port to allow the digital telephone to log on to the computer
workstation and each of said messaging redirector plug-ins using a respective said selected port
to forward selected messages to the digital telephone logged on to the workstation.

Weikart teaches a brower program and providing messaging redirector plug-ins for the browser program which each replace a corresponding messaging plug-in and are each assigned to a same said selected port to allow the digital telephone to log on to the computer workstation and each of said messaging redirector plug-ins using a respective said selected port to forward selected messages to the digital telephone logged on to the workstation See column 3, lines 6-63.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the browser plug-in of Weikart with the plug in of Guggisberg. A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this to facilitate messaging between devices that support two different formats.

5. As per claims 2 and 11, Guggisberg teaches the method and apparatus of claims 1 and 10, wherein: the digital telephone and the computer workstation are directly coupled to said TCP/IP

Application/Control Number: 10/034,395

Art Unit: 2157

network (the phone and workstation are connected to the internet; paragraph 0019, 0049).

6. As per claims 3 and 12, Guggisberg teaches the method and apparatus of claims 1 and 10, wherein: the TCP/IP network is the Internet (paragraph 0019, 0049).

Page 4

- 7. As per claims 4 and 13, Guggisberg teaches the method and apparatus of claims 1 and 10, wherein: the TCP/IP network is a LAN (0019, 0049).
- 8. As per claims 5 and 14, Guggisberg teaches the method and apparatus of claims 4 and 13, further comprising: e) coupling the computer workstation is coupled to the Internet (0019, 0049).
- 9. Claims 6-9 and 15-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Guggisberg et al. US Patent Publication No. 2004/0029598 in view of Weikart et al. US Patent No. 6,212,535 as applied to claims 1-5 and 10-14 above and in further view of Szlam et al. US Patent No. 6,359,892. Szlam discloses the invention as claimed including forwarding messages to remote devices (see abstract).
- 10. As per claims 6 and 15, Guggisberg and Weikart teaches the method and apparatus of claims 1 and 10, wherein: the digital telephone is coupled to the TCP/IP network via a telephone network coupled to a server computer coupled to the TCP/IP network. See paragraph 0019, 0049. Guggisberg and Weikart does not expressly teach a PBX network. Szlam teaches a PBX network. See column 8, lines 1-45.

Art Unit: 2157

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the telephone network of Guggisberg and Weikart with the PBX network of Szlam. A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this to allow the user to make long distance phone calls.

As per claims 7 and 16, Guggisberg and Weikart teaches the method and apparatus of claims 1 and 10, wherein: the digital telephone is coupled to the TCP/IP network via the PSTN coupled to a telephone network coupled to the TCP/IP network. See paragraph 0019, 0049. Guggisberg and Weikart does not expressly teach a PBX network. Szlam teaches a PBX network. See column 8, lines 1-45.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the telephone network of Guggisberg and Weikart with the PBX network of Szlam. A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this to allow the user to make long distance phone calls.

12. As per claims 8 and 17, Guggisberg teaches the method and apparatus of claims 1 and 10, wherein: the digital telephone is coupled to the TCP/IP network via a wireless connection to a telephone network coupled to the TCP/IP network. See paragraph 0019, 0049. Guggisberg does not expressly teach a PBX network. Szlam teaches a PBX network. See column 8, lines 1-45.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the telephone network of Guggisberg Weikart with the PBX network of Szlam. A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this to allow the user to make long distance phone calls.

13. As per claims 9 and 18, Guggisberg and Weikart teach the method and apparatus of claims 1 and 10, wherein: the digital telephone is coupled to the TCP/IP network via a wireless connection to the PSTN to a telephone network coupled to the TCP/IP network. See paragraph 0019, 0049. Guggisberg and Weikart does not expressly teach a PBX network. Szlam teaches a PBX network. See column 8, lines 1-45.

Page 6

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the telephone network of Guggisberg and Weikart with the PBX network of Szlam. A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this to allow the user to make long distance phone calls.

14. As per claims 19 and 21, Guggisberg and Weikart teach the method and apparatus of claims 1 and 10, wherein said messaging plug-ins include plug-ins to handle electronic mail. See 0019, 0022, and 0050. Guggisberg and Weikart do not expressly teach plug-ins to handle each of news groups, stock quotes, news headlines, weather reports, auction information and instant messaging. Szlam teaches handling different types of messages. See column 7, lines 18-42.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the email messages of Guggisberg and Weikart with the different types of messages of Szlam. A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this because these different messages are all text type messages which can be included in email messages.

Application/Control Number: 10/034,395 Page 7

Art Unit: 2157

15. As per claims 20 and 22 Guggisberg teaches the method and apparatus of claims 1 and 10, said messaging redirector program forwarding said selected messages over said respective selected port to the network. See paragraph 0019, 0049, 0050-0065. Guggisberg does not teach wherein the digital telephone is coupled to a PBX network, said messaging redirector program forwarding said selected messages over said respective selected port to said PBX, said PBX forwarding the messages to the digital telephone. Szlam teaches a PBX network. See column 8, lines 1-45.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the telephone network of Guggisberg with the PBX network of Szlam. A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this to allow the user to make long distance phone calls.

Response to Arguments

16. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-22 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Uzma Alam whose telephone number is (571) 272-3995. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Tuesday 5:30 AM - 2:00 PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/034,395

Art Unit: 2157

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on (571) 272-4001. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Uzma alam ua February 13, 2006

DOMANDY TYANINED

Page 8